Interdisciplinary Journal of Humanities, Media, and Political Science (IJHMPS)
Vol. 2, No. 2. Dec 2025

The Algorithmic Muse: Exploring AI's Impact on Human Creativity

https://www.doi.org/10.56830/IJHMPS12202605

Sarmed Jassim M. Al-Khazraji
Tikrit University - Iraq - Sociology, Anthropolog
Email: sa.a761@tu.edu.iq

Hashim Alwi Moqaibel
Associate Professor of International Law
Al-Ahqaf University – Republic of Yemen

Received: 17 Sep 2025 Revised: 28October 2025 Accepted: 29 Dec 2025 Published: 31 Dec 2025

Abstract

Generative AI systems are now commonplace in artistic and educational creative practices, raising fundamental questions about human creativity, authorship, and collaboration. Rather than treating AI as a substitute for human creative potential, this research investigates how these technologies act as advanced creative partners that enhance rather than replace human intelligence. The findings indicate that creativity is fundamentally human and occurs through intentional acts that machines cannot fully replicate. However, AI systems add significant value by producing initial content—texts, images, and designs—that humans curate, edit, and integrate into final pieces of work. This human curation is what transforms an AI output into a genuinely creative outcome aligned with artistic objectives and user demands. The paper reviews three categories of creative acts in computer-aided design and establishes criteria for creativity attribution in human-AI partnerships: clarity of individual input, material effect on results, and proof of creative intent. The study argues that IP laws should treat human collaborators as real co-creators rather than just tool operators. There are six future research paths: algorithmic development, interdisciplinary integration, pedagogical reform, academia-industry alliance, empirical study, and ethical guidelines. In the end, the results point out that even if tech toolkits keep changing over time, human creativity still has its own special nature—this needs constant conversation to make sure AI use adds to our creative space instead of taking away from it.
Keywords: Algorithmic, Artificial Intelligence, Human Creativity

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) (Crimaldi & Leonelli, 2023) and human creativity have become increasingly intertwined in contemporary discourse (Esling & Devis, 2020). The adoption of image- and text-generating AI systems by artists and writers, along with the rise of pedagogical platforms that employ AI in educational settings, reflect this rapidly growing confluence. Nevertheless, the significance associated with the emergence of AI as a creative partner in the artistic sphere is undermined by existing literature. In the period of mass reproduction ushered in by the invention of the printing press, the introduction of digital image manipulation software that allows for collage techniques, or the widespread use of photographs for artistic or illustrative purposes, painting was viewed as being exercised in a state of ‘post-creation’.

Despite the impact of digital technology on the art of painting in the twenty-first century, the role of creativity in the artistic sphere remains critically important. In response to the assertions that creativity has already been fundamentally affected by technological advances, the intent of this exploration is to examine the implications of creativity on the yet-unfolded interaction with AI systems, and to investigate whether creativity possesses sufficient autonomy and deviation to enable art to escape the stage of post-recreation. (Qureshi, 2023).

The onset of the expansive and rapidly evolving AI spectrum of creativity brings into focus an overwhelming multitude of epistemological challenges and dilemmas, leading to significant uncertainty that is further propagated by an ongoing conjecture regarding the very architecture and nature of creative activity itself. The intricacy and depth of these multifaceted questions necessitate that preliminary relevant concepts be systematically articulated, thoroughly explored, and clearly delineated prior to addressing the more substantive and complex research inquiries that lie ahead on the horizon. (Sehgal, 2023).

Accordingly, this ambitious undertaking aims to establish a robust and comprehensive theoretical framework that consists of several salient constructs drawn from diverse fields such as cognitive science, algorithmic design, and creative philosophy, all of which are crucial for categorizing various nuanced strategies related to innovation, agency, and collaboration in a rapidly technologically advancing landscape. This effort seeks not only to illuminate the paths forward but also to enhance our understanding of the interconnections between these fields and their implications on future developments in the realm of creative AI. (Beery, et al., 2023).

The aforementioned exposé is then followed by a comprehensive analysis that explores how these intricate concepts apply to the contemporary relationship that exists between AI systems and human creativity. Further route descriptions elaborate on how appropriate terms connected to AI, the essence of creativity, and the very conception of research are meticulously defined, which indicates just how these interconnected subjects collectively assist in unpacking and clarifying the definition of AI in the expansive creative domain we are navigating today. This analysis highlights the potential intersections and synergies between human and machine creativity, paving the way for future explorations into collaborative innovation. (Kurmi, Maurya, & Pujari, 2024).

2. Theoretical Framework

Creativity emerges as what many consider the ultimate form of human intelligence, a unique attribute that, as of now, simply cannot be replicated by machines in any capacity. The extraordinary ability of an object or system to exhibit creativity, which is typically referred to by the term “creative agent,” is recognized by the profound works it produces, works that elicit feelings of awe and wonder in observers, and is characterized by the unpredictability and novelty of the outcomes it generates. In spite of the incredible advancements we have witnessed in artificial intelligence (AI) technologies over recent years, there remains no computational model that operates by the same intricate principles as the multifaceted human mind—each AI system or tool continues to operate primarily as a computer that is equipped with various complex algorithms and vast repositories of data. (Dainys, 2024).

These sophisticated systems do indeed offer a significant amount of assistance in various ways or automate numerous creative tasks, often becoming highly valuable partners throughout the entire creative process. By closely examining not just the behavior of the machine but also the underlying principles behind its functioning rather than merely focusing on the final products it generates, one can identify three distinct and notable types of creative actions that can be observed in the expansive and ever-evolving realm of computer-aided design. Specifically, these actions include the essential support in the production of innovative ideas, the comprehensive development of working models that explicitly demonstrate functionality, or the meticulous creation of final products that meet specific criteria—and correspondingly, three forms of meaningful collaboration arise from each of these important actions in the overall creative process, leading to enhanced outcomes and fostering greater innovation in the field. (Wang, et al., 2024); (Velardo & Vallati, 2016).

3. AI as a Creative Partner

Generative models play a crucial role in enabling AI systems to produce a wide array of creative content, drawing upon the power of vast and diverse datasets. Human users actively engage in numerous creative activities, which include generating initial inputs or providing formal specifications that serve as seeds for the creative process. Users interact with the outputs generated by AI, curate them, or combine various responses together, which is essential in fostering creativity. This interaction often involves developing feedback loops that enhance the quality of the outcomes. (Pandy, Pugazhenthi, & Murugan, 2025).

Additionally, individuals may apply this technology in broader modes of creative expression to strengthen their ideas further or adapt solutions to fit specific contexts. The following analysis delves into the generative capabilities of current algorithms, offering a comprehensive evaluation of the quality and novelty of AI-generated content. It also thoroughly examines the significant influence that human curation has on the final output, showcasing how human involvement remains integral in guiding the creative processes of AI and ensuring that the results align with user expectations and creative goals. (Crimaldi & Leonelli, 2023); (Inie, Falk, & Tanimoto, 2023).

AI can generate ideas, prototypes, or expressions serving as starting points for further development. When a user formulates a query, prompt, or instruction, a generative system produces associated material in line with established patterns. Although these contributions may not constitute a finished artistic piece, they frequently contribute significant content—text, melodies, images, designs—and the user assumes the role of curator or co-creator. Responses exhibit considerable variation in reliability, originality, and serendipity, and their appropriateness often hinges on the user's specified requirements. (Bilgram & Laarmann, 2023)

Systems such as ChatGPT engineer human-like discourse based on extensive conversational data, while textual prompts usually yield extensive semantic connections. Consequently, agents generate both high- and low-quality responses, creating opportunities for curation, synthesis, or combination. AI art generators and music-prompted AI tools similarly produce variable outputs, prompting users to select the most fitting solution for their intended objectives.

While not uniformly applicable across all scenarios or contexts, the underlying techniques allow users to significantly influence and shape AI outputs across a variety of diverse modalities, enabling both co-creation and careful crafting of content. A substantial amount of effort is devoted to establishing the specific desired formal or stylistic attributes before the initial content generation takes place. After this generation, further engagement and interaction follow; however, iterations may occur and evolve beyond previously fixed standards. This process emphasizes iterative refinement and development over divergent exploration.

Producers utilize these intricate systems in a variety of ways, which may include delegation, augmentation, or even sharing, thereby significantly shaping the degree of agency transfer and the level of involvement throughout the entire generation cycle. Various models of co-creation and collaboration provide unique and distinct insights into different potential scenarios, while also associating such innovative frameworks with collaborative or collective intellectual property models that are becoming increasingly relevant in today's rapidly evolving landscape.

4. Implications for Artistic Practice

AI tools influence artistic practice in various ways across disciplines. They alter workflows, shape skills and standards, and transform concepts of authorship, collaboration, and creative control. These shifts come with wider ethical implications concerning creative decision-making, transparency, and accountability. In some contexts, algorithmic assistance enhances accessibility, lowers barriers to entry, promotes inclusivity, and democratizes the creation of culture. Yet such outcomes also risk a homogenization of artistic voices, with proprietary tools constraining activity to a narrow set of expressions.

Artistic practice encompasses all human behaviours designated as artistic; it entails the production of cultural artefacts that frame stimulating experiences.

Many AI-augmented activities qualify as creative endeavours. Basic interaction with AI tools frequently elicits playful exploration, experimentation, and performative and rhetorical strategies. Extensive literature examines a selection of increasingly refined methods of deploying AI in creative activities and the associated influences on processes and outputs (Todorov, 2019). Three widely discussed modalities—co-creation, augmentation, and delegation—differ in the nature of contribution and engage different constituents of authorial identity (M. Darda & S. Cross, 2022).

AI provides sensory outputs in response to a wide variety of initial stimuli—including raw ideas, sketches, and reference samples—that constitute an emergent prominent mode of artistic activity. Generally termed “generative” activities, such (Crimaldi & Leonelli, 2023) endeavours continue to demand significant agency and exert a pivotal influence on the nature of resultant pieces. They frequently involve human input at multiple stages: curatorial selection, conceptual cast, referential material assemblage, and iterative tuning. (Tian, Cho, Song, Park, Kim, & Cho, 2025).

5. Intellectual Property and Attribution

Intellectual property frameworks have their roots in the revolutionary invention of the printing press, which fundamentally changed the way ideas and information were disseminated. These frameworks became more firmly established following the groundbreaking innovations such as the phonograph and the early motion pictures, marking the expansion of intellectual property rights into new domains.

Over time, these principles extended further into the realms of video games and the vast landscape of the Internet, reflecting the evolving nature of creation and innovation in our society. Most intellectual property schemes invoke authorship as a central criterion for obtaining protection, emphasizing the importance of an intentional creative act; merely enjoying a right as a simple user of a tool, without contributing to its original creative process, is not recognized within these frameworks. (Dratler Jr & McJohn, 2025)

However, the advent of the machine age introduced significant challenges to normative ideas surrounding rights and authorship. The practice of private recording was critically questioned for infringing upon the public domain and its contents were scrutinized for ethical implications. Furthermore, in the film industry, advancements in post-production techniques led to photography and lighting professionals receiving credit that often overshadowed the contributions of the director, which sparked debates about what constitutes authorship and the distribution of creative credit within collaborative creative processes. (La Rosa, 2025).

While debates over AI-generated outputs differ in many aspects from earlier discussions about technology and creativity, a significant convergence appears to be developing in recognizing an important role for human collaborators in this evolving landscape. Attention has thus increasingly turned to issues of credit and the legitimate shares of value that should be assigned to human actors involved in these processes. Proposed determinants of recognition for these human contributions include factors such as specific individual contributions made during the collaborative process, the probative link that exists between a particular action taken and the final piece produced, the material nature of such contributions that can impact the creative output, and the creative activity that is embodied within the materials processed by AI. (Magnanini, Trabucchi, Buganza, & Verganti, 2022).

These important considerations also retain their significant relevance when the AI directly modifies artistic inputs or when it provides unique instantiations originating from various other creative directions. This situation highlights the intricate and complex interplay between human creativity and machine assistance in the vast realm of art and ongoing technological innovation. The relationship between human artistic expression and machine capabilities continues to evolve, prompting deeper reflections on the nature of creativity itself and the role of technology in shaping modern artistic pursuits. (Sarkar, 2023).

6. Future Trajectories

Amid ongoing technological advances in intelligent systems and ongoing societal discourse concerning creative processes, exploration of the Future Trajectories of Creativity in an AI-contemporary landscape remains salient (Esling & Devis, 2020). These avenues of investigation include yet are by no means limited to: (1) persistent progress within the domain of generative algorithms; (2) confluences between AI-assisted creativity and such disciplines as the Natural Sciences and Humanities; (3) pedagogical reformulation of creative-artistic disciplines within the context of AI ingress; (4) research-industry synergies; (5) empirical probes into generative AI systems; (6) ethical principles and professional standards intended to safeguard both human creativity and algorithmic intervention (Epstein, et al., 2023).

In consonant harmony with the attention devoted to exploring the Future Trajectories of AI-assisted creativity, these multifaceted considerations seek to stimulate deeper reflection and further inquiry pertinent to the current state—taking into account both the actual and the potential dimensions—of agency, the intricate dynamics of co-creation, authorship, and the complex collaborative processes that are often involved. (Katsenou, Kotsidis, Papadopoulou, Anastasiadis, & Deliyannis, 2025)

Additionally, the essential role of the human element cannot be overlooked, as it is vital in shaping the direction and outcomes of creative endeavors. Moreover, the overarching significance and profound impact of creative processes exist within the vast interconnected web of shared Earth systems, reflecting a multitude of interactions that both engage human ingenuity and leverage advanced technological advancements to deepen our understanding of artistic expression and innovation in this rapidly evolving landscape.

7. Conclusion

Creativity has been a defining element of human essence across cultures since the dawn of prehistoric. It is both an individual expression and a common communicating tool to share emotion, experience, and reflection in society. Artificial intelligence (AI) has been an integral part of our daily life for more than six decades, encompassing broad areas from industrial application to social interaction.

The definition of AI has evolved under the influence of the rapid growth of computing power and the understanding of intelligent behaviour. Increasing the use of AI in the creative domain raises numerous questions about whether it should be seen as a new challenge or an extension of the long-term relationship. Intelligent machines cannot spontaneously develop creative capability or appreciate art without clear symbolic representation. Although AIs can produce artistic style, further analysis shows that they still lack human awareness. Even if human-like creativity could be realised, AI involvement cannot be fully ignored owing to different media, knowledge establishment, and social acceptance. Both creativity and AI evolve through the long and complicated development process.

The future of our creative ecosystem largely relies upon either the human-defined norms or the system-defined compliance, and continuous dialogue is needed to prevent stagnation and draw the possibility of both.

The recent and notable growth of interest in artificial intelligences (AIs) suggests a belief that human creativity remains largely unaffected by these advancements. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that any technology introduced into our lives does indeed leave its mark on the fabric of creative expression. Creativity can emerge from numerous sources, including the material we use, the tools at our disposal, and the instruments employed in the creative process. Furthermore, the modification of one existing technique into another can also lead to innovative outcomes. The enhancement of equipment and technology allows for the physical experiences of our reality to be mirrored in a virtual environment, which can be explored and interacted with by humans in myriad ways. This facilitates a shift that allows capturing experiences to be transcended into another dimension or even a different point in time. Creative change is profoundly influenced by significant external parameters and influences, where the implications of ethical usage, domain extension, inclusion, and accessibility must be rigorously examined and considered. Creativity cannot simply mutate independently at the same rhythm as the toolkit evolves; rather, each new tool extends the previous set of boundaries while not necessarily altering the distinctive style that characterizes individual creativity. Such expansion and integration of tools into the creative process prompt a cascade of consequences, reshaping how creative endeavors are undertaken and understood within society. It is essential for creators to navigate this intricate landscape thoughtfully, as technological advancements do not merely add to our existing capabilities but can also fundamentally alter the nature of expression itself. The dialogue concerning these shifts is vital for the future of creativity in an ever-evolving landscape.

References:

Beery, T., Stahl Olafsson, A., Gentin, S., Maurer, M., Stålhammar, S., Albert, C., et al. (2023). Disconnection from nature. *Expanding our understanding of human–nature relations. People and Nature*, 5(2), 470-488.

Bilgram, V., & Laarmann, F. (2023). Accelerating innovation with generative AI: AI-augmented digital prototyping and innovation methods. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 51(2), 18-25.

Crimaldi, F., & Leonelli, M. (2023). AI and the creative realm. *A short review of current and future applications*.

Dainys, A. (2024). Human creativity versus machine creativity: will humans be surpassed by AI? *Contemporaneous issues about creativity*.

Dratler Jr, J., & McJohn, S. M. (2025). Intellectual property law: Commercial. *creative and industrial property*.

Epstein, Z., Hertzmann, A., Herman, L., Mahari, R., R. Frank, M., Groh, M., et al. (2023). Art and the science of generative AI. *A deeper dive*.

Esling, P., & Devis, N. (2020). Creativity in the era of artificial intelligence.

Inie, N., Falk, J., & Tanimoto, S. (2023). Designing Participatory AI. *Creative Professionals' Worries and Expectations about Generative AI*.

Katsenou, R., Kotsidis, K., Papadopoulou, A., Anastasiadis, P., & Deliyannis, I. (2025). Beyond Assistance. *Embracing AI as a Collaborative Co-Agent in Education*, Education Sciences, 15(8), 1006.

Kurmi, R. K., Maurya, A., & Pujari, N. M. (2024). Nexus of artificial intelligence and human creativity: exploring opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Drug Discovery and Health*.

La Rosa, M. (2025). Contemporary Post-Production. *Create, Cut, Collaborate, Color, Deliver*.

M. Darda, K., & S. Cross, E. (2022). The computer, A choreographer? Aesthetic responses to randomly-generated dance choreography by a computer.

Magnanini, S., Trabucchi, D., Buganza, T., & Verganti, R. (2022). Collaborate as a flock in the organization: how selection and synthesis influence knowledge convergence within a complex adaptive system. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 26(11), 142-165.

Pandy, G., Pugazhenthi, V. J., & Murugan, A. (2025). Generative AI: Transforming the Landscape of Creativity and Automation. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 975, 8887.

Qureshi, O. (2023). Artistic Innovation and Creativity: Driving Forces of Human Progress. *Journal of Religion and Society*.

Sarkar, A. (2023). Exploring Perspectives on the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Creativity of Knowledge Work. *Beyond Mechanised Plagiarism and Stochastic Parrots*.

Sehgal, S. (2023). Towards the Ontological Unfolding of Generative AI: An Interdisciplinary Exploration of Creativity, Epistemology, and Ethics. *Proceedings of the Generative Art Conference*.

Tian, C., Cho, Y., Song, Y., Park, S., Kim, I., & Cho, S. Y. (2025). Integration of AI with artificial sensory systems for multidimensional intelligent augmentation. *International Journal of Extreme Manufacturing*, 7(4), 042002.

Todorov, P. (2019). A Game of Dice. *Machine Learning and the Question Concerning Art*.

Velardo, V., & Vallati, M. (2016). A General Framework for Describing Creative Agents.

Wang, H., Zou, J., Mozer, M., Goyal, A., Lamb, A., Zhang, L., et al. (2024). Can AI Be as Creative as Humans?